



Title Here

1

FirstName MiddleName LastName ^{1, 2, *}, FirstName MiddleName LastName², and
FirstName MiddleName LastName ³

2

3

¹Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

4

²Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

5

³Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

6

*Corresponding author: email@mail.com; email@mail.edu

7

Abstract

8

Abstract here

9

Keywords: 5-6 Keywords

10


JEL Codes: For economics articles only

11

Highlights	12
• Problem and solution	13
• Method	14
• Result 1 / Recommendations / Policy Implications	15
• Result 2 / Recommendations / Policy Implications	16
• Result 3 / Recommendations / Policy Implications / Conclusion	17

1. Introduction

18



19

Figure 1: Caption of figure

- `\parencite[] {yang2024soil}` gives (Yang et al., 2024) 20
- `\enquote{\$6 fee}` gives “\$6 fee” 21
- `\cite{yang2024soil}` gives Yang et al., 2024 22
- `\ref{tab:Demog}` gives 1 23
- `\ref{Figure: Push}` gives 1 24

2. Theoretical Foundation

25

3. Method

26

3.1 Subsection

27

The probability of ... was estimated as

28

$$P_{BW}(rr' = 1 | \mathbf{X}_{itk}) = \beta_{CAN} CAN_{itk} + \lambda_{NSFP} NSFP_{itk} + \lambda_{SFP} SFP_{itk} + \epsilon_{itk} \quad (1)$$

where the β s are ..., λ s are ..., and ϵ_i is stochastic error term.

29

4. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Demographics

Demographic Variables	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Median	Min	Max
Agricultural Cropland (Acres)	134	196.47	513.36	80	0	5,500
Rangeland (Acres)	224	475.01	529.19	282.50	0	3,000
Forests (Acres)	200	209.56	417.49	100	0	3,500

Notes:

.....

Table 2: Caption

Site Attributes	Marginal(\$) (Std. Err.)	$p > z $	95% CI Lower, Upper
ABC	-0.96 (0.81)	0.235	-2.53, 0.62
DEF	-0.71 (0.71)	0.317	-2.11, 0.69

Note: Marginal WTPs, Std. Err., and CIs were rounded to two decimal points.

References

31

- Yang, G., Wang, L., Gu, W., Gu, J., Fan, D., Liang, M., Liu, J., & Wang, Z. (2024). Soil ecological risk assessment of ten industrial areas in china based on the triad and vikor methods. *Ecological Indicators*, 166, 112270. 32
33
34

Appendix A: 35

Appendix B: 36