

### The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two sets such that  $f: S \rightarrow T$  and  $g: T \rightarrow S$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are both injective functions. Prove that there exists a bijective function  $h: S \rightarrow T$ .

*Proof.* This theorem is very intuitive for the finite dimensional case. Pick any natural number  $n$ . Let  $n$  represent the cardinality (number of elements) of the set  $S$ . For an injection  $f$  to exist, the cardinality of set  $T$  must be  $\geq$  the cardinality of set  $S$ . But for an injection  $g$  to exist, the cardinality of set  $S$  must be  $\geq$  the cardinality of set  $T$ . Thus,  $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(T)$  and there exists a bijective function  $h$  from  $S$  to  $T$ .

For the infinite dimensional case, consider the following problem: **what if  $\exists x_0$  s.t  $x_0 \in S$  but  $x_0 \notin g(T)$ ?** The definition of  $f$  guarantees that  $f(x_0) \in T$ . Hence,  $g(f(x_0)) \in S$ . Now, if  $f(g(f(x_0))) = f(x_0)$  then  $f(a) = f(b)$  for some  $a \neq b$ ; thus, there is a contradiction because we know that  $f$  is injective. Conversely, what happens in case  $f(g(f(x_0))) \neq f(x_0)$ ? We end up with a cycle of consecutive images of  $f$  and  $g$ . Somehow, we must show that even that cycle causes a contradiction that prevents the existence of a bijective function  $h$ ! **We must formalize our intuition now.**

Let  $X_0 = S \setminus g(T)$ . Let the cycle of  $X_n$  be defined recursively by  $X_n = g(f(X_{n-1}))$ . Finally, let  $X = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  where  $X \supset X_0 = S \setminus g(T)$ . Define  $h: S \rightarrow T$  by the following construction:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in X, \\ g^{-1}(x), & \text{if } x \notin X. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $h$  is bijective.

**$h$  is injective:** Let  $x, x_0 \in S$  with  $h(x) = h(x_0)$ . If  $x, x_0 \in X$  then  $f(x) = h(x) = h(x_0) = f(x_0)$  and we're done because  $f$  is injective. If both  $x, x_0 \notin X$ , then  $x = g(h(x)) = g(h(x_0)) = x_0$ . However, when  $x \in X$  and  $x_0 \notin X$ , we must approach this differently. We know that  $h(x) = f(x)$ . This means that  $g^{-1}(x_0) = h(x_0) = h(x) = f(x)$  and composing with  $g$  means  $g(f(x)) = x_0$ . However,  $g(f(x))$  must be in  $X$ , but  $x_0$  was defined not to be in  $X$  - leading to a contradiction.

**$h$  is surjective:** Let  $y \in T$ . We want to show that there exists an  $x$  such that  $h(x) = y$ . Let  $x' = g(y)$ . If  $x' \notin X$ , we have that  $h(x') = g^{-1}(x') = y$ . Let  $x = x'$  and we are done. However, if  $x' \in X$ , then  $x' \in X_n$  for some  $n$ .  $n \neq 0$  because  $x'$  is the image of some  $y$  under  $g$ . Let  $x \in X_{n-1}$ , be such that  $x' = g(f(x))$ . Then, we know that  $g(f(x)) = x' = g(y)$ . Hence,  $f(x) = y$  which is exactly what we wanted because in this sub case  $f(x) = h(x)$ .

Phew! We first constructed  $h$ , a function from  $S$  to  $T$ , and now proved that it is bijective. □